

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,479	10/15/2003	Ching-Hung Wang	BHT-3199-33	3507
7590 03/11/2005			EXAMINER	
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			TANG, SON M	
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBURG PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			2632	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/684,479	WANG, CHING-HUNG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Son M Tang	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	,				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)	-(d) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies pot received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westberg et al. [US 6,137,399; Westberg] in view of Kalogerson [US 4,174,766].

Regarding to claim 1: Westberg discloses an engine speed sound-warning device comprising, a central processor [64]; an induction an input unit [60, 62]; a settting selection unit [26]; and output load unit [28], wherein processor receives the value of engine speed and compares with the selected value input, and emits a warning signal when engine value speed is larger than the selected value [as shown in Fig. 3, col. 2, lines 31-38, col. 4, lines 30-50 and col. 5, lines 22-28]. Westberg does not specifically discloses that warning signal is a warning sound, it is known in the art that warning indicator can be alternative of visually/audibly indicator or both. In an analogous invention to Kalogerson a similar type of indicator is provided to driver is a warning sound indicator 16 [see Fig. 3, col. 2, lines 35-43], therefore, it would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention, to combine the warning sound indicator as taught by Kalogerson into the system of Wetberg, for the benefit of driver does not need to take his/her eyes of the road.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,479

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding to claim 2: Westberg and Kalogerson disclose all the limitation as described in claim above, but lack in specifically teach that warning sound that emulates a sound produced by a racing car at high engine speed. Kalogerson had stated in [col. 1, lines 14-23] meaning that his indicating device 10 includes warning-sound is an improvement of indicator prior arts for sports or racecars drivers. Therefore, it would have been obvious of one having ordinary skill in the art to recognize that, the warning sound indicator suggested by Kalogerson is suitable for racecar environment.

Page 3

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sampey [US 3,719,888] and Kido et al. [US 4,853,673].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son M Tang whose telephone number is (571)272-2962. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/9 First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J Wu can be reached on (571)272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Son Tang

Thomas J. Mullen, Jr.
Primary Examiner
Art Und 2632